

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

LAKEITA KEMP, individually and on behalf  
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC. and  
NISSAN MOTOR CO., LTD.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:19-cv-00854

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

District Judge William L. Campbell, Jr.  
Magistrate Judge Chip Frensley

**DEFENDANT NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.'S ANSWER TO  
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT;  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Defendant Nissan North America, Inc. ("NNA") files this Answer to Plaintiff Lakeita Kemp's ("Plaintiff") First Amended Complaint ("FAC") (Dkt. No. 30) as follows.

The numbered paragraphs below correspond to the like-numbered paragraphs in the FAC. Except as specifically admitted as set forth below, NNA denies the allegations in the FAC, including without limitation the headings and subheadings contained within the FAC. NNA expressly reserves the right to amend and/or supplement its answer and defenses.

**I. Nature of the Case**

1. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's allegations regarding the vehicle models Plaintiff and putative class members purchased or leased. To the extent Paragraph 1 of the FAC makes additional allegations, they are denied.

2. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the FAC.

3. NNA admits that the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the FAC are a very general description of some aspects of certain Automatic Emergency Braking technology. If anything further is intended by these allegations, then they are denied.

4. NNA admits that the 2015 model year Nissan Murano and certain other 2015 model year vehicles offered Forward Emergency Braking as an optional feature. NNA also admits that Automatic Emergency Braking was a standard feature on some of the listed vehicle models depending on grade. Because the allegations are overly general and broad, no further response is reasonably possible or required. If a response is required, then the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the FAC are denied as phrased.

5. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the FAC.

6. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the FAC.

7. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the FAC.

8. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the FAC.

9. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the FAC.

10. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the FAC.

11. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the FAC.

12. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the FAC.

## **II. Jurisdiction and Venue**

13. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's jurisdictional claims in Paragraph 13 of the FAC. To the extent a response is required, NNA does not contest the subject matter jurisdiction of this Court.

14. The allegations of Paragraph 14 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, NNA does not contest the subject matter jurisdiction of this Court.

15. NNA admits that its principal place of business is in Franklin, Tennessee. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 15 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, NNA does not contest the personal jurisdiction of this Court.

16. The allegations of Paragraph 16 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, NNA does not contest venue in this Court.

### **III. Parties**

17. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 17 of the FAC.

18. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations regarding Plaintiff's understanding at the time she purchased a Nissan Altima. NNA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 18 of the FAC.

19. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the FAC.

20. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the FAC. To the extent Paragraph 20 of the FAC makes additional allegations, they are denied.

21. NNA admits that it is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Franklin, Tennessee and that it distributes and markets Nissan and Infiniti vehicles in the United States to independent dealers authorized to sell, lease, and service those vehicles. NNA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 21 of the FAC.

22. NNA admits that Nissan Motor Co., Ltd. is a Japanese Corporation and that its corporate headquarters is in Yokohama, Japan. NNA also admits that it is a subsidiary

corporation of NML, and that NML designs and manufactures automobiles. NNA denies that NML distributes, markets and sells automobiles in the United States.

23. The allegations in Paragraph 23 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

24. The allegations in Paragraph 24 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent Paragraph 24 of the FAC makes additional allegations, they are denied.

25. NNA admits that it is a subsidiary corporation of NML. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 25 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent Paragraph 25 of the FAC makes additional allegations, they are denied.

#### **IV. Factual Allegations**

26. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's allegations with respect to the operation of her vehicle. To the extent Paragraph 26 of the FAC makes additional allegations, they are denied.

27. NNA admits that Forward Emergency Braking was offered as an optional feature for the 2015 model year Nissan Murano. To the extent Paragraph 27 of the FAC makes additional allegations, they are denied.

28. NNA admits that Forward Emergency Braking technology has been offered as an optional feature on various vehicles including the Nissan Rogue, Nissan Altima, and Nissan Maxima. To the extent Paragraph 28 of the FAC makes additional allegations, they are denied.

29. NNA admits that, as a part of mid-year model revisions, Forward Emergency Braking technology became a standard feature on the 2017 Nissan Rogue. To the extent Paragraph 29 of the FAC make additional allegations, they are denied.

30. NNA admits that Forward Emergency Braking technology was later called Automatic Emergency Braking and this technology was a standard feature on some 2018 vehicle models listed in Paragraph 30 of the FAC depending on grade. Because the allegations are overly general and broad, no further response is reasonably possible or required. If a response is required, then the allegations in Paragraph 30 of the FAC are denied as phrased.

31. The allegations in Paragraph 31 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

32. NNA admits that the allegations in Paragraph 32 of the FAC in a general manner describe Forward Emergency Braking/Automatic Emergency Braking technology. If anything further is intended by these allegations, then they are denied.

33. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 33 of the FAC.

34. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 34 of the FAC.

35. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 35 of the FAC.

36. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 36 of the FAC.

37. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 37 of the FAC.

38. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 38 of the FAC.

39. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 39 of the FAC.

40. NNA admits that it maintains data regarding warranty claims submitted by Nissan dealers. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 40 of the FAC are denied.

41. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 41 of the FAC.

42. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 42 of the FAC except as to the allegedly reprinted VOQs submitted to NHTSA, as to which NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of those allegations.

43. Paragraph 43 of the FAC is argumentative, contains legal conclusions, does not contain any factual allegations, and does not require a response. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

44. The allegations in Paragraph 44 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

45. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 45 of the FAC.

46. NNA admits only that it issued Technical Service Bulletin NTB18-041a. NNA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 46 of the FAC which are argumentative and presume the truth of facts that are disputed.

47. NNA admits only that it issued Technical Service Bulletin NTB18-041a. NNA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 47 of the FAC which are argumentative and presume the truth of facts that are disputed.

48. NNA admits only that it issued Technical Service Bulletin NTB18-041a and that the document speaks for itself. NNA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 48 of the FAC which are argumentative and presume the truth of facts that are disputed.

49. NNA admits only that it issued Technical Service Bulletin NTB18-041a. NNA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 49 of the FAC which are argumentative and presume the truth of facts that are disputed.

50. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 50 of the FAC.

51. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 51 of the FAC.

52. NNA admits that it markets Nissan vehicles in the U.S. NNA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 52 of the FAC which are argumentative and general.

53. NNA admits that it markets Nissan vehicles in the U.S. NNA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 53 of the FAC which are argumentative, general and refer to unspecified marketing materials.

54. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 54 of the FAC.

55. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 55 of the FAC.

56. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 56 of the FAC.

57. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 57 of the FAC.

**V. Class Action Allegations**

58. Paragraph 58 of the FAC does not contain any factual allegations and does not require a response. NNA denies that class certification would be appropriate in this case.

59. Paragraph 59 of the FAC does not contain any factual allegations and does not require a response. NNA denies that class certification would be appropriate in this case.

60. Paragraph 60 of the FAC does not contain any factual allegations and does not require a response. NNA denies that class certification would be appropriate in this case.

61. Paragraph 61 of the FAC does not contain any factual allegations and does not require a response. NNA denies that class certification would be appropriate in this case.

62. Paragraph 62 of the FAC does not contain any factual allegations and does not require a response. NNA denies that class certification would be appropriate in this case.

63. The allegations in Paragraph 63 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

64. The allegations in Paragraph 64 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

65. The allegations in Paragraph 65 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

66. The allegations in Paragraph 66 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

67. The allegations in Paragraph 67 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

68. The allegations in Paragraph 68 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

69. The allegations in Paragraph 69 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

## **VI. Claims for Relief**

### **COUNT 1 VIOLATION OF THE MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.**

70. To the extent Paragraph 70 of the FAC incorporates by reference each allegation from Paragraphs 1-69 of the FAC, NNA incorporates by reference its responses to those allegations.

71. The allegations in Paragraph 71 of the FAC contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 71 of the FAC are denied.

72. The allegations in Paragraph 72 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

73. The allegations in Paragraph 73 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

74. The allegations in Paragraph 74 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

75. The allegations in Paragraph 75 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.



76. The allegations in Paragraph 76 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

77. NNA admits that a warranty information booklet accompanied the sale or lease of new Nissan vehicles in the U.S. If anything further is intended by the allegations in Paragraph 77 of the FAC, then they are denied.

78. The allegations in Paragraph 78 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

79. The allegations in Paragraph 79 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

80. The allegations in Paragraph 80 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

81. The allegations in Paragraph 81 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

82. The allegations in Paragraph 82 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

83. The allegations in Paragraph 83 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

84. The allegations in Paragraph 84 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

85. The allegations in Paragraph 85 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

86. Paragraph 86 of the FAC does not contain any factual allegations and does not require a response. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

**COUNT 2**  
**BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY**

87. To the extent Paragraph 87 of the FAC incorporates by reference each allegation from Paragraphs 1-86 of the FAC, NNA incorporates by reference its responses to those allegations.

88. The allegations in Paragraph 88 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

89. The allegations in Paragraph 89 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

90. NNA admits that a warranty information booklet accompanied the sale or lease of new Nissan vehicles in the U.S. If anything further is intended by the allegations in Paragraph 90 of the FAC, then they are denied.

91. The allegations in Paragraph 91 of the FAC contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 91 of the FAC are denied.

92. The allegations in Paragraph 92 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

93. The allegations in Paragraph 93 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

94. The allegations in Paragraph 94 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

95. The allegations in Paragraph 95 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

96. The allegations in Paragraph 96 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

97. The allegations in Paragraph 97 of the FAC contain legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 97 of the FAC are denied.

98. The allegations in Paragraph 98 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

**COUNT 3**  
**BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY**

99. To the extent Paragraph 99 of the FAC incorporates by reference each allegation from Paragraphs 1-98 of the FAC, NNA incorporates by reference its responses to those allegations.

100. The allegations in Paragraph 100 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

101. The allegations in Paragraph 101 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

102. The allegations in Paragraph 102 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

103. The allegations in Paragraph 103 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

104. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 104 of the FAC.

105. NNA admits that a warranty information booklet accompanied the sale or lease of new Nissan vehicles in the U.S. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 105 of the FAC are

legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

106. NNA admits that a warranty information booklet accompanied the sale or lease of new Nissan vehicles in the U.S. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 106 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

107. The allegations in Paragraph 107 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

108. The allegations in Paragraph 108 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

109. The allegations in Paragraph 109 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

**COUNT 4**  
**FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT**

110. To the extent Paragraph 110 of the FAC incorporates by reference each allegation from Paragraphs 1-109 of the FAC, NNA incorporates by reference its responses to those allegations.

111. The allegations in Paragraph 111 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

112. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 112 of the FAC.

113. The allegations in Paragraph 113 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

114. The allegations in Paragraph 114 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

115. NNA denies the allegations in Paragraph 115 of the FAC.

116. The allegations in Paragraph 116 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

117. The allegations in Paragraph 117 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

118. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 118 of the FAC regarding what actions Plaintiff or putative class members would have taken. To the extent Paragraph 118 of the FAC makes additional allegations, they are denied.

119. The allegations in Paragraph 119 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

120. NNA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 120 of the FAC regarding what actions Plaintiff or putative class members would have taken. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 120 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

**COUNT 5**  
**UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

121. To the extent Paragraph 121 of the FAC incorporates by reference each allegation from Paragraphs 1-120 of the FAC, NNA incorporates by reference its responses to those allegations.

122. The allegations in Paragraph 122 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

123. The allegations in Paragraph 123 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

124. The allegations in Paragraph 124 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

125. The allegations in Paragraph 125 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

126. The allegations in Paragraph 126 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

127. The allegations in Paragraph 127 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

128. The allegations in Paragraph 128 of the FAC are legal conclusions to which no response is required. If a response is required, the allegations are denied.

## **VII. Relief Requested**

Answering the Prayer for Relief set forth in the FAC (the “Prayer”), NNA denies that Plaintiff or putative class members are entitled to judgment in their favor or the relief requested.

a. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph a. of the Prayer.

b. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph b. of the Prayer.

c. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph c. of the Prayer.

d. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph d. of the Prayer.

e. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph e. of the Prayer.

f. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph f. of the Prayer.

g. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph g. of the Prayer.

h. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph h. of the Prayer.

i. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph i. of the Prayer.

j. NNA denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph j. of the Prayer.

### **DEFENSES**

NNA asserts the following defenses. In asserting these defenses, NNA does not concede that it has the burden of proof or production on any of them, and does not assume the burden of proof with respect to any matter as to which Plaintiff has the burden of proof. Further, NNA has not completed its investigation of the facts of this case, has not completed discovery in this matter, and has not completed its preparation for trial. The affirmative defenses asserted herein are based on NNA's knowledge, information, and belief at this time, and NNA specifically reserves the right to modify, amend, or supplement any affirmative defenses contained herein at any time. Further, NNA reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as information is gathered through discovery and investigation.

Subject to the preceding qualifications, and without admitting any of the facts alleged by Plaintiff, NNA pleads the following defenses:

1. The FAC, and each claim alleged therein, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

2. The claims of Plaintiff and the putative class are barred because the representations or omissions alleged in the FAC were not false, untrue, or misleading.

3. The claims of Plaintiff and the putative class are barred because Plaintiff and the putative class members did not reasonably rely on any representations and such representations did not become part of the bargain.

4. The warranty claims of Plaintiff and the putative class are barred or limited by valid disclaimers or limitations.

5. The warranty claims of Plaintiff and certain putative class members are barred by lack of privity.

6. The warranty claims of Plaintiff and certain putative class members are barred by the failure to provide statutory notice pursuant to the Uniform Commercial Code.

7. The implied warranty claims of certain putative class members are barred because there has been no manifestation of the alleged defect.

8. An express contract governs the subject matter, precluding the application of any quasi-contractual theory.

9. The asserted claims are barred to the extent any injuries sustained by Plaintiff or putative class members were caused by their own negligent conduct.

10. The asserted claims are barred to the extent any injuries sustained by Plaintiff or putative class members were caused by the conduct of third parties for which NNA has no liability.



11. The asserted claims are barred to the extent any injuries sustained by Plaintiff or putative class members were caused by intervening and superseding causes or circumstances, for which NNA is not responsible.

12. If Plaintiff or some members of the putative class sustained damages, which damages are denied, their claims are barred because they failed to act reasonably to mitigate any loss or harm that could have avoided by making reasonable efforts or expenditures.

13. The claims of Plaintiff and putative class members are barred, in whole or in part, on the basis that Plaintiff and putative class members would be unjustly enriched if they were allowed to recover the relief alleged in the FAC.

14. To the extent the conduct alleged in the FAC falls under the authority and/or scope of an administrative agency or other agency that regulates NNA's actions, the claims of Plaintiff and the putative class are barred under the doctrines of exclusive or primary jurisdiction and the failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

15. Any request for equitable relief is barred because Plaintiff and putative class members have an adequate remedy at law.

16. Plaintiff and the putative class members lack standing to seek injunctive relief.

17. The FAC fails to state a claim upon which attorney's fees can be awarded.

18. Any award of exemplary damages would constitute the imposition of a criminal penalty without the safeguards guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States. Any claims made by Plaintiff for exemplary damages should be stricken as unconstitutional and any award for exemplary damages should be set aside for the reasons stated above.

19. Plaintiff and the putative class members seek to impose overwhelming and disproportionate liability in violation of NNA's substantive and procedural due process rights conferred in the Constitutions of the United States and the States of Connecticut and Tennessee, and by the substantive and due process rights conferred in any other Constitutions the Court may deem applicable.

20. The aggregate or mass litigation of punitive and/or exemplary damages necessarily violates the Due Process Clause of the Constitutions of the United States and the States of Connecticut and Tennessee.

21. The claims of at least some members of the putative class are barred, in whole or in part, based on lack of constitutional or statutory standing.

22. The claims of at least some members of the putative class are barred, in whole or in part, by the economic loss rule.

### **RESERVATION OF DEFENSES**

NNA respectfully reserves the right to amend its answer at a later date to assert such additional defenses, counterclaims, or third-party complaints as may be appropriate when further information is obtained through investigation and formal discovery.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, NNA prays as follows:

1. That judgment be entered in favor of NNA and against Plaintiff;
2. That the claims in the FAC be dismissed, in their entirety, with prejudice;
3. That NNA be awarded its costs of suit herein; and
4. For such other additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, NNA hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: November 6, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Brigid M. Carpenter

Brigid M. Carpenter (BPR No. 18134)  
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell &  
Berkowitz, PC (Nash)  
211 Commerce Street, Suite 800  
Nashville, Tennessee 37201  
Tel: (615) 726-7341  
bcarpenter@bakerdonelson.com

s/ E. Paul Cauley, Jr.

E. Paul Cauley, Jr.\*  
S. Vance Wittie\*  
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
1717 Main Street, Suite 5400  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Tel: (469) 357-2500  
Fax: (469) 327 0860  
paul.cauley@faegredrinker.com  
vance.wittie@faegredrinker.com

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC. and  
NISSAN MOTOR CO., LTD.  
\*admitted *pro hac vice*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 6, 2020, I sent the foregoing document to the email addresses listed below:

**J. Gerard Stranch, IV**

**Benjamin A. Gastel**

Branstetter, Stranch & Jennings PLLC

223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 200

Nashville, Tennessee 37203

Phone: 615-254-8801

Fax: 615-255-5419

Email: gerards@bsjfirm.com

Email: beng@bsjfirm.com

**Lynn A. Toops**

**Lisa M. La Fornara\***

Cohen & Malad, LLP

One Indiana Square, Suite 1400

Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: 317-636-6481

Fax: 317-636-2593

Email: ltoops@cohenandmalad.com

Email: llaforanara@cohenandmalad.com

s/ Brigid M. Carpenter

Brigid M. Carpenter